arXiv:1602.04780v1 [cond-mat.supr-con] 15 Feb 2016

Superconducting properties of tin-copper amorphous thin films

E. A. Drzazga[(1)],* R. Szczęśniak[(1,2)],† I. A. Domagalska[(1)], and K. Piwowarska[(1)]
[1] *Institute of Physics, Częstochowa University of Technology, Ave. Armii Krajowej 19, 42-200 Częstochowa, Poland and*
[2] *Institute of Physics, Jan Długosz University in Częstochowa, Ave. Armii Krajowej 13/15, 42-200 Częstochowa, Poland*

(Dated: October 24, 2016)

The thermodynamic properties of the superconducting state in the amorphous $Sn_{1-x}Cu_x$ thin films have been characterized. The concentration of copper changes in the range from 0.08 to 0.41. The calculations have been conducted in the framework of the strong-coupling formalism, wherein the Eliashberg functions determined in the tunnel experiment (Phys. Rev. B **51**, 685 (1995)) have been used. The value of the Coulomb pseudopotential equal to 0.1 has been adopted. It has been found that the critical temperature (T_C) decreases from 7 K to 3.9 K. The ratio of the energy gap to the critical temperature: $R_\Delta = 2\Delta(0)/k_B T_C$, differs significantly from the BCS value: $R_\Delta \in \langle 4.4, 3.95\rangle$. Similarly behaves the ratio of the specific heat jump to the specific heat of the normal state: $R_C = \Delta C(T_C)/C^N(T_C)$, and the parameter: $R_H = T_C C^N(T_C)/H_C^2(0)$, where $H_C(0)$ is the thermodynamic critical field. In particular, $R_C \in \langle 2.2, 1.75\rangle$ and $R_H \in \langle 0.141, 0.154\rangle$.

PACS numbers: 74.20.Fg, 74.25.Bt

Keywords: Superconductivity, Amorphous systems, Thermodynamic properties.

The research on the superconducting state has lasted more than hundred years [1]. One of the first superconductors discovered was tin, wherein the superconducting phase is characterized by the low value of the critical temperature: $T_C = 3.72$ K [2], [3]. It turns out that the disappearance of the crystal structure and the formation of the amorphous system can be the reason for the significant increase in the transition temperature. In particular, the amorphous alloys containing copper deserve particular attention [4].

This paper presents the results of the theoretical analysis obtained for the superconducting state of the amorphous $Sn_{1-x}Cu_x$ thin films, for the concentration of copper in the range from 0.08 to 0.41.

Due to the high values of the electron-phonon coupling constant ($\lambda \in \langle 1.01, 1.81\rangle$), the numerical calculations have been performed in the framework of the strong-coupling theory, wherein: $\lambda = 2\int_0^{\Omega_{\max}} d\Omega \frac{\alpha^2 F(\Omega)}{\Omega}$. The spectral functions ($\alpha^2 F(\Omega)$) have been determined on the basis of the tunnel experiment [5]. The maximum phonon frequency ($\Omega_{\max}$) is equal to 23 meV. A detailed dependence of the electron-phonon coupling constant on the concentration of copper is presented in Fig. 1.

The strong-coupling theory is based on the Eliashberg equations [6]:

$$\phi_n = \frac{\pi}{\beta}\sum_{m=-M}^{M} \frac{\lambda(i\omega_n - i\omega_m) - \mu^* \theta(\omega_c - |\omega_m|)}{\sqrt{\omega_m^2 Z_m^2 + \phi_m^2}}\phi_m, \quad (1)$$



FIG. 1: The values of λ as a function of the copper concentration.

$$Z_n = 1 + \frac{1}{\omega_n}\frac{\pi}{\beta}\sum_{m=-M}^{M} \frac{\lambda(i\omega_n - i\omega_m)}{\sqrt{\omega_m^2 Z_m^2 + \phi_m^2}}\omega_m Z_m. \quad (2)$$

It should be noted that the Eliashberg set can be obtained from the Fröhlich Hamiltonian, which explicitly models the electron-phonon interaction [7]. The solutions of the Eliashberg equations are: the order parameter function ($\phi_n = \phi(i\omega_n)$), and the wave function renormalization factor ($Z_n = Z(i\omega_n)$). The order parameter for the superconducting state is defined as the ratio: $\Delta_n = \phi_n/Z_n$. The quantity ω_n is the fermion Matsubara frequency: $\omega_n = (\pi/\beta)(2n-1)$, whereas: $\beta = (k_B T)^{-1}$, and k_B denotes the Boltzmann constant. The symbol $\lambda(z)$ represents the pairing kernel for the electron-phonon interaction: $\lambda(z) = 2\int_0^{\Omega_{\max}} d\Omega \frac{\Omega}{\Omega^2 - z^2}\alpha^2 F(\Omega)$.

The classical Eliashberg formalism is the one-parameter theory, in which the depairing electron corre-

*Electronic address: edrzazga@wip.pcz.pl
†Electronic address: szczesni@wip.pcz.pl

lations are modeled with the help of the Coulomb pseudopotential ($\mu^{\star}$) [8]. In the study we have assumed: $\mu^{\star} = 0.1$. The quantity θ represents the Heaviside function, ω_c is the cut-off frequency: $\omega_c = 5\Omega_{\max}$.

The finite number of equations has been taken into account performing the numerical analysis ($M = 1100$). The functions ϕ_n and Z_n are stable for $T \in \langle T_0 = 1\ \mathrm{K}, T_C \rangle$. The Eliashberg equations have been solved using the numerical procedures tested and discussed in the papers: [9], [10], [11], [12], [13], [14], [15].

In order to calculate the exact value of the order parameter, the solutions of the Eliashberg equations should be analytically continued on the real axis: $\phi_n \rightarrow \phi(\omega)$ and $Z_n \rightarrow Z(\omega)$. Most effectively it can be done with the help of the Eliashberg equations in the mixed representation [16]:

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi(\omega + i\delta) &= \frac{\pi}{\beta} \sum_{m=-M}^{M} \left[\lambda(\omega - i\omega_m) - \mu^{\star} \theta(\omega_c - |\omega_m|) \right] \frac{\phi_m}{\sqrt{\omega_m^2 Z_m^2 + \phi_m^2}} \\
&+ i\pi \int_0^{+\infty} d\omega' \alpha^2 F(\omega') \left[\left[N(\omega') + f(\omega' - \omega) \right] \frac{\phi(\omega - \omega' + i\delta)}{\sqrt{(\omega - \omega')^2 Z^2(\omega - \omega' + i\delta) - \phi^2(\omega - \omega' + i\delta)}} \right] \\
&+ i\pi \int_0^{+\infty} d\omega' \alpha^2 F(\omega') \left[\left[N(\omega') + f(\omega' + \omega) \right] \frac{\phi(\omega + \omega' + i\delta)}{\sqrt{(\omega + \omega')^2 Z^2(\omega + \omega' + i\delta) - \phi^2(\omega + \omega' + i\delta)}} \right],
\end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
Z(\omega + i\delta) &= 1 + \frac{i}{\omega} \frac{\pi}{\beta} \sum_{m=-M}^{M} \lambda(\omega - i\omega_m) \frac{\omega_m Z_m}{\sqrt{\omega_m^2 Z_m^2 + \phi_m^2}} \\
&+ \frac{i\pi}{\omega} \int_0^{+\infty} d\omega' \alpha^2 F(\omega') \left[\left[N(\omega') + f(\omega' - \omega) \right] \frac{(\omega - \omega') Z(\omega - \omega' + i\delta)}{\sqrt{(\omega - \omega')^2 Z^2(\omega - \omega' + i\delta) - \phi^2(\omega - \omega' + i\delta)}} \right] \\
&+ \frac{i\pi}{\omega} \int_0^{+\infty} d\omega' \alpha^2 F(\omega') \left[\left[N(\omega') + f(\omega' + \omega) \right] \frac{(\omega + \omega') Z(\omega + \omega' + i\delta)}{\sqrt{(\omega + \omega')^2 Z^2(\omega + \omega' + i\delta) - \phi^2(\omega + \omega' + i\delta)}} \right].
\end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

The symbols $N(\omega)$ and $f(\omega)$ represent the Bose-Einstein and the Fermi-Dirac functions.

Fig. 2 shows the plot of the temperature course of the order parameter and the wave function renormalization factor. It can be noted that the increase in the concentration of copper very significantly weakens the superconducting state, while contributing to the less pronounced fall in the value of the electron effective mass: $m_e^{\star} \simeq Z_{m=1} m_e$, where the symbol m_e represents the electron band mass.

Then, the overt dependence of the critical temperature on the copper concentration has been determined. The results are presented in Fig. 3. The critical temperature changes over the fairly wide range from 3.9 K to 7 K. Nevertheless, the full Eliashberg formalism allows us to reproduce the experimental results with a very good approximation [4], [17], [18], [19], [20]. Additionally, let us point out that the values of T_C determined with the use of the approximated McMillan or Allen-Dynes formulas are significantly understated [21], [22].

The other quantities characterizing the superconducting phase (the thermodynamic critical field H_C and the specific heat of the superconducting state C^S) have been determined on the basis of the free energy difference between the superconducting and the normal state [23]:

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\Delta F}{\rho(0)} &= -\frac{2\pi}{\beta} \sum_{n=1}^{M} \left(\sqrt{\omega_n^2 + \Delta_n^2} - |\omega_n| \right) \\
&\times \left(Z_n^S - Z_n^N \frac{|\omega_n|}{\sqrt{\omega_n^2 + \Delta_n^2}} \right).
\end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

The symbol $\rho(0)$ represents the value of the electron density of states at the Fermi level. The markings S and N refer to the superconducting state and the normal state, respectively.

The obtained curves are plotted in the lower panel in Fig. 4. Note that an increase in the value of x causes a strong decrease of the free energy difference, which is in a direct way reflected in the thermodynamic critical field



FIG. 2: The maximum value of the order parameter and the wave function renormalization factor in the dependence of the temperature for the selected concentration of copper.



FIG. 4: (Lower panel) The free energy difference as a function of the temperature for the selected values of the copper concentration. (Upper panel) The dependence of the thermodynamic critical field on the temperature.



FIG. 3: The influence of the copper concentration on the values of the critical temperature. The grey points represent the experimental results obtained in the papers: [4], [17], [18], [19], and [20]. The black lines have been obtained with the use of the McMillan and Allen-Dynes formulas [21], [22].



FIG. 5: The specific heat of the superconducting state and the specific heat of the normal state as a function of the temperature for the selected values of the copper concentration.

(upper panel in Fig. 4):

$$\frac{H_C}{\sqrt{\rho\left(0\right)}} = \sqrt{-8\pi\left[\Delta F/\rho\left(0\right)\right]}. \tag{6}$$

The specific heat of the superconducting state should be calculated on the basis of the formula: $C^S = C^N + \Delta C$, where C^N represents the specific heat of the normal state: $\frac{C^N(T)}{k_B\rho(0)} = \frac{\gamma}{\beta}$, $\gamma = \frac{2}{3}\pi^2\left(1+\lambda\right)$ is the Sommerfeld constant. The specific heat difference between the superconducting and the normal state (ΔC) is determined on the basis of:

$$\frac{\Delta C\left(T\right)}{k_B\rho\left(0\right)} = -\frac{1}{\beta}\frac{d^2\left[\Delta F/\rho\left(0\right)\right]}{d\left(k_BT\right)^2}. \tag{7}$$

Fig. 5 presents the dependence of the specific heat for the superconducting state and the normal state on the temperature. The characteristic jump that exists at the critical temperature is marked with the vertical line.

The analyzed thermodynamic properties allow to determine the dimensionless parameters:

$$R_H = \frac{T_C C^N\left(T_C\right)}{H_C^2\left(0\right)}, \qquad \text{and} \qquad R_C = \frac{\Delta C\left(T_C\right)}{C^N\left(T_C\right)}. \tag{8}$$

The numerical calculations prove that for $x \in \langle 0.08, 0.41\rangle$, the parameter R_H increases from 0.141 to 0.154, and the parameter R_C decreases from 2.2 to 1.75. Note that the BCS theory predicts: $R_H = 0.168$ and $R_C = 1.43$ [24], [25]. Thus, even for the high concentration of copper, the thermodynamic critical field and the specific heat of the superconducting state is not correctly



FIG. 6: The order parameter on the complex plane for the selected values of the temperature and the copper concentration. The lines with the symbols were obtained for $\omega \in \langle 0, \Omega_{\max} \rangle$. The lines without the symbols represent the frequencies from $\Omega_{\max}$ to ω_c.

described by the BCS theory.

The physical values of the order parameter have been obtained by solving the Eliashberg equations in the mixed representation.

Fig. 6 shows the plot of the values of the function $\Delta(\omega)$. It can be seen that the order parameter forms the characteristic deformed spirals of the size that is decreasing together with the temperature's increase. Let us notice that the imaginary part of $\Delta(\omega)$ describes the damping effects [26]. On the other hand, the real part of the function $\Delta(\omega)$ is used to determine the exact values of the energy gap at the Fermi level:

$$\Delta(T) = \mathrm{Re}\left[\Delta(\omega = \Delta(T))\right]. \tag{9}$$

From the physical point of view, the most interesting is its value for the lowest temperature considered in the paper: $\Delta(0) = \Delta(T_0)$. From here, $2\Delta(0) \in \langle 2.63, 1.32 \rangle$ meV, when $\mathrm{x} \in \langle 0.08, 0.41 \rangle$.

Based on the results achieved, the values of the dimensionless parameter have been calculated:

$$R_\Delta = \frac{2\Delta(0)}{k_B T_C}. \tag{10}$$

As a result, it has been obtained: $R_\Delta \in \langle 4.4, 3.95 \rangle$. Note that in the BCS theory the ratio R_Δ is the universal constant of the value equal to 3.53 [24], [25].

In conclusion, within the full Eliashberg formalism we have determined the thermodynamic parameters of the superconducting state in the $Sn_{1-x}Cu_x$ thin films, for the concentration of copper in the range from 0.08 to 0.41.

The results show that the increase in the concentra-

tion of copper lowers the value of the critical temperature from 7 K to 3.9 K. The values of the remaining thermodynamic quantities, like the order parameter, the thermodynamic critical field and the specific heat of the superconducting state, differ materially from the expectations of the BCS theory.

[1] H. K. Onnes *Communications from the Physical Laboratory at the University of Leiden*, vol. 12, p. 120, 1911.
[2] J. Eisenstein *Reviews of Modern Physic*, vol. 26, p. 277, 1954.
[3] B. T. Matthias, T. H. Geballe, and V. B. Compton *Reviews of Modern Physic*, vol. 35, p. 1, 1963.
[4] R. Schmidt, T. Franke, and P. Haussler *Physica B*, vol. 263, p. 296, 1999.
[5] P. W. Watson and D. G. Naugle *Physical Review B*, vol. 51, p. 685, 1995.
[6] G. M. Eliashberg *Soviet Physics-JETP*, vol. 11, p. 696, 1960.
[7] H. Fröhlich *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London A*, vol. 215, p. 291, 1952.
[8] P. Morel and P. W. Anderson *Physical Review*, vol. 125, p. 1263, 1962.
[9] R. Szczęśniak, E. A. Drzazga, and A. M. Duda *Solid State Communiations*, vol. 166, p. 50, 2013.
[10] R. Szczęśniak, A. M. Duda, E. A. Drzazga, and M. A. Sowińska *Physica C*, vol. 506, p. 115, 2014.
[11] R. Szczęśniak, A. M. Duda, and E. A. Drzazga *Physica C*, vol. 501, p. 7, 2014.
[12] E. A. Drzazga, R. Szczęśniak, and A. M. Duda *Physica B*, vol. 445, p. 68, 2014.
[13] A. P. Durajski, R. Szczęśniak, and A. M. Duda *Solid State Communiations*, vol. 195, p. 55, 2014.
[14] M. W. Jarosik, I. A. Wrona, and A. M. Duda *Solid State Communiations*, vol. 219, p. 1, 2015.
[15] R. Szczęśniak, M. W. Jarosik, and A. M. Duda *Advances in Condensed Matter Physics*, vol. 969564, p. 10, 2015.
[16] F. Marsiglio, M. Schossmann, and J. P. Carbotte *Physical Review B*, vol. 37, p. 4965, 1988.
[17] K. Knorr and N. Barth *Journal of Low Temperature Physics*, vol. 4, p. 469, 1971.
[18] H. Leitz *Zeitschrift fur Physik B Condensed Matter*, vol. 40, p. 65, 1980.
[19] J. Dutzi and W. Buekel *Zeitschrift fur Physik B Condensed Matter*, vol. 55, p. 99, 1984.
[20] D. G. Naugle, P. W. I. Watson, and K. D. D. Rathnayaka *NASA. Johnson Space Center, Proceedings of the 4th International Conference and Exhibition: World Congress on Superconductivity*, vol. 1, p. 222, 1995.
[21] W. L. McMillan *Physical Review*, vol. 167, p. 331, 1968.
[22] P. B. Allen and R. C. Dynes *Physical Review B*, vol. 12, p. 905, 1975.
[23] J. Bardeen and M. Stephen *Physical Review B*, vol. 136, p. A1485, 1964.
[24] J. Bardeen, L. N. Cooper, and J. R. Schrieffer *Physical Review*, vol. 106, p. 162, 1957.
[25] J. Bardeen, L. N. Cooper, and J. R. Schrieffer *Physical Review*, vol. 108, p. 1175, 1957.
[26] G. Varelogiannis *Zeitschrift fur Physik B Condensed Matter*, vol. 104, p. 411, 1997.